



Araujo  
1998

Madrid, 2, Oct., 1998

Dear Dick,

here I send you our manuscript for revision. Thank you very much for your time. The photographs are paper copies of the slides (good slides) that I want to send to the journal in case you think that the manuscript is worth publishing in *Conservation Biology*..

Other things: the trip to Kola Peninsula was fantastic. I really enjoyed the taiga, the river, the canoes and catamarans and the people. Fifteen nice days in the wild. The populations of salmon and mussels are really big in these rivers. Easy to collect juveniles of *Margaritifera margaritifera*. During the trip Valerij told me about your stay there. In several campaments I think I put my tent in the same place than you did.

Best regards to your wife. And to Braven and Bill, what about their progress?

So, thank you very much again. I hope to see you soon.

Yours,

RAFAEL ARAUJO

Rafael Araujo

**CONSERVATION OF THE RELICT GIANT EUROPEAN FRESHWATER  
PEARL MUSSEL *MARGARITIFERA AURICULARIA***

Short title: Conservation of *Margaritifera auricularia*

Number of words: 6812

Araujo, R. & Ramos, M. A.

Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (C.S.I.C.)

José Gutiérrez Abascal 2 28006 Madrid. Spain.

Rafael Araujo

Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (C.S.I.C.)

José Gutiérrez Abascal 2 28006 Madrid. Spain.

Telephone: 4111328. Fax: 5645078. e-mail: [mcnra2f@fresno.csic.es](mailto:mcnra2f@fresno.csic.es)

<sup>geographic range</sup>  
**Abstract:** The ~~distribution area~~ of the freshwater naiad *Margaritifera auricularia* has declined alarmingly since the beginning of the <sup>twentieth</sup> century. The last records of live ~~mussels~~ <sup>specimens</sup> date from 1917, <sup>and is</sup> The species is considered to be one of the most threatened in the world. ~~Thanks to the~~

A recent finding of a live population in the basin of the Ebro River (Spain) <sup>provided</sup> the opportunity to describe, for the first time, ~~some~~ characteristics of its habitat, biology, breeding cycle and host fish, ~~for its larval stage (glochidia), basic data for applying conservation measures for this relict species.~~ For the first time ~~metamorphosed juveniles~~ <sup>were obtained</sup> ~~recently released from the branchia of an exotic species of sturgeon~~ <sup>induced infestations</sup> ~~are reported,~~ which indicates that culture and artificial propagation of this species is now a reality. In this paper we examine the history of the species and discuss national and international efforts to conserve it and boost its numbers and those that need to be urgently implemented now that this population, one of the last in the world, has been discovered.

*Give results, not speculation on its future.*

**Resumen:** El área de distribución de la náyade perlífera gigante de agua dulce *Margaritifera auricularia* ha disminuido de forma alarmante desde el principio de nuestro siglo, de forma que los últimos registros de animales vivos datan de 1917. La especie está considerada como uno de los animales más amenazados del mundo. Gracias al reciente descubrimiento de una población viva en la cuenca del Río Ebro (España) podemos describir, por primera vez, las características de su hábitat, biología, ciclo reproductivo y pez hospedador de su fase larvaria (gloquidio), conocimientos fundamentales a la hora de aplicar medidas de conservación para esta especie relictas. Se han obtenido por primera vez ejemplares juveniles recién liberados de las branquias de una especie exótica de esturión, lo que indica que el cultivo y propagación

artificial de esta especie es ya una realidad. En este trabajo se examina la historia de la especie y se discute sobre los esfuerzos nacionales e internacionales para conservarla y restaurar sus efectivos, así como sobre los que se deben llevar a cabo con urgencia una vez que esta población, una de las últimas del mundo, ha sido descubierta.

## Introduction

<sup>The giant European pearl mussel, Spengler (1793)</sup>  
^ *Margaritifera auricularia* (Figure 1) belongs to one of the oldest genera among <sup>of</sup> the naiads, <sup>superfamily Unionoidea</sup> ~~the freshwater mussels.~~ Historically, species of the genus *Margaritifera*, <sup>known as</sup> ~~known as~~ pearl mussels, have been the object of rather intensive exploitation for their nacre and pearls. Three species are known to occur in Europe: *M. margaritifera* (Linnaeus 1758), *M. auricularia* (Spengler 1793) and *M. durrovensis* Phillips 1928. <sup>Quaternary</sup> ~~The specific or subspecific status of the last taxon is~~ <sup>*M. durrovensis*</sup> ~~discussed~~ in relation to *M. margaritifera* (Phillips 1928; Chesney, Oliver & Davis 1993; Moorkens & Costello 1994). Therefore, only the first two <sup>taxa</sup> ~~names~~ appear on the list of wildlife species under the Council of Europe's Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention 1979), ~~and~~ the European Union Directive on the Conservation of Natural and Semi-Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (Directive 92/43/EEC, Habitats Directive), <sup>and</sup> ~~as well as on~~ the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources Red Data List (IUCN 1990). ~~*M. auricularia*~~ <sup>The giant European</sup> ~~freshwater~~ pearl mussel is considered to be one of the most threatened animal species in the world.

throughout the world

The extraordinary decline experienced by ~~naid~~ <sup>freshwater mussel</sup> populations ~~over~~ <sup>in</sup> the last fifty years, ~~as well as their interesting ecology and life cycles,~~ <sup>5</sup> have attracted much attention and concern in recent years ~~in the most~~ <sup>from</sup> diverse conservation forums ~~so far as~~ <sup>at the national and</sup> ~~international~~ <sup>been extirpated from</sup> extensive areas of Central Europe (Buddensiek 1995), and is now a priority subject for study in many countries. ~~A long list of references dealing with this species is now available~~ <sup>Numerous studies</sup> (Bauer & Eicke 1986; Bauer 1986 and references therein; Bauer & Vogel 1987; Bauer 1987a,b; Hruska 1992; Chesney et al. 1993; Ziuganov et al. 1994; Valovirta 1995) ~~among others~~ and active research is still in progress. ~~that document the precipitous decline~~ <sup>and states of remnant populations.</sup>

~~Conversely,~~ <sup>On the contrary,</sup> the only published ~~succinct~~ <sup>describes</sup> data on *M. auricularia* biology ~~came from~~ a Spanish population (Haas 1916a, b; 1917a). Since then, no living specimens of this species have been reported, either from Western Europe, the species' former range (Iberian Peninsula, France, Italy, England, Germany) or from rivers in Morocco, where a local race? probably occurs <sup>red</sup> (Haas 1940). Only Azpeitia (1933) cited some specimens that were probably collected after 1917, ~~also in~~ <sup>from</sup> the Ebro basin. He also cited one specimen from Toledo (Tajo <sup>river</sup>) that is ~~stored~~ <sup>used</sup> in the collection of the Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (Madrid).

~~Data on the past distribution of the~~ <sup>is</sup> species in Europe (Czechoslovakia, Germany, ~~and~~ England) from the fossil record ~~are~~ <sup>is</sup> recorded in Preece et al. (1983).

Thanks to ~~Haas~~ <sup>the papers of (1969, 1979)</sup> ~~above mentioned papers,~~ we know that the species was very abundant in the Ebro ~~river,~~ where the nacre of the empty valves was collected to manufacture knife hilts. At the beginning of this century, there was a small factory in Sástago (Zaragoza, Spain), where the shells were carefully cleaned and buried for months in a hole ~~in the~~

~~ground~~ covered by wet sand. This was the usual way to keep them useable for up to 40 years. The best nacre was obtained from the thick anterior part and middle part of the shell (Haas 1917a).

*available*  
Haas's research provided <sup>A</sup> brief description of the anatomy of *M. auricularia* (Haas 1924), but no <sup>information</sup> data on reproductive strategy, breeding season and larval morphology. <sup>was been reported</sup> He was only able to note <sup>Haas (1924)</sup> that specimens were not gravid between mid-July and the beginning of September, and that they lived in the Ebro on a 70-120 <sup>m</sup> <sup>el</sup> metre-wide section of the river between stones and boulders with a predilection for deep bottoms (5-7 m), as well as in the Imperial Channel ("Canal Imperial"), an ancient channel of the Ebro (Haas 1916a, b; 1917a). ~~He also mentioned the rarification of the species in recent years.~~

In 1990, Altaba reported the presence of a few empty valves of *M. auricularia* in an irrigation channel of the Ebro River near the estuary in Tarragona (Spain), proposing several conservation measures (~~see below~~) <sup>will</sup> ~~should~~ live specimens ever <sup>be</sup> found. ~~Hopefully,~~ <sup>a</sup> during <sup>the</sup> study carried out in 1996 to inventory some Habitats Directive invertebrates living in Spain (Ramos 1998), a population of *M. auricularia* was "re-discovered" in the Imperial Channel of the Ebro River (Araujo & Ramos 1996a, b, 1998). Since then studies <sup>have been</sup> ~~are being~~ conducted on the ecology, population size and age, and reproductive strategies of the species.

More recently, there has been news <sup>of</sup> ~~about~~ other *M. auricularia* populations living in the main course of the Ebro River and in one of its irrigation channels (Altaba 1997), both in Catalonia. Other news <sup>of</sup> ~~concerning~~ *M. auricularia* populations in the Guadiana River are ~~less~~ <sup>unconfirmed</sup>. ~~plausible~~. As regards an old record for *Unio sinuatus* (= *M. auricularia*) in the Guadalquivir <sup>A</sup> river, no <sup>evidence</sup> ~~real data~~ exist beyond the "unknown specimen" (Haas 1917b) cited by Bourguignat (1866). In fact, the

sampling <sup>conducted</sup> we ~~carried out~~ in both rivers detected ~~some~~ naiad populations other than *Margaritifera* spp.

9 The dramatic decline of *M. auricularia* in Europe has run parallel to that of ~~some~~ <sup>many</sup> North American ~~mussels~~ <sup>species</sup>. Bogan (1993) described the reasons for ~~its~~ <sup>naiad</sup> extinction as habitat alteration or destruction, decline or extinction of host fishes, commercial exploitation, and introduced species.

Q This paper ~~deals with both a description of~~ <sup>we</sup> the habitat of the recently discovered population of *M. auricularia* in the Imperial Channel and ~~with~~ the results obtained in ~~an indoor~~ <sup>we</sup> experiment<sup>s</sup> on its biology and reproductive cycle, ~~which are essential for the application of~~ <sup>we</sup> ~~conservation measures.~~ It ~~also summarizes~~ the legal conservation status of the species, and ~~Spain's efforts to implement international recommendations.~~ Finally, ~~we discuss the national and international efforts to conserve and restore the species, and those that must be taken~~ <sup>actions are</sup> ~~urgently~~ <sup>needed</sup> ~~now following the discovery of~~ <sup>to conserve</sup> this population, which is ~~probably one of the last of the species in the world.~~ <sup>relief</sup>

### International efforts to protect *M. auricularia*

In the background information on invertebrates of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention, the status of *M. auricularia* is reported as: "at least vulnerable, probably extinct throughout most of its range"

(Woodward 1996, Council of Europe). The species has been listed on Appendix IV of the EEC Habitats Directive, which includes animal and plant species of European interest requiring strict protection.

In the ~~item~~ <sup>section</sup> on conservation, the same document (Woodward 1996) ~~says~~ <sup>reports</sup> that "the biology of the species remains unknown until such time as any surviving populations can be located. In the interim, every effort should

be made to ensure that any historical sites, such as the Ebro basin, should undergo the least development or modification as possible until a full survey of the area has been undertaken". This document also recommends: 1) <sup>immediate</sup> ~~Urgent~~ distribution surveys to determine the location and status of any surviving populations, ~~throughout its former range,~~ but particularly in France, Italy and Spain; 2) <sup>by</sup> Measures to ensure that all sites found to contain surviving populations ~~may~~ receive immediate and adequate protection; 3) A study of the life cycle of *M. auricularia*; and 4) ~~To~~ determine habitat requirements and to formulate a captive breeding programme.

Following these recommendations endorsed by the Bern Convention Standing Committee (Council of Europe 1996), Spain undertook a research project to investigate the <sup>occurrence</sup> ~~presence~~ of live populations, ~~in order~~ to contribute <sup>needed information on</sup> ~~to knowledge~~ of the species' distribution and biology as a preliminary step towards designing a complete plan for its conservation and restoration. ~~In this regard,~~ <sup>As a result,</sup> we ~~had the opportunity to find the~~ <sup>rediscovered</sup> species in a well-preserved channel of the Ebro river. In the near future, efforts <sup>will</sup> ~~should~~ be directed at surveying the main course of the river. Studies currently in progress are <sup>focused</sup> ~~concentrating~~ on ~~some~~ biological aspects <sup>of the species</sup> ~~of this directive~~.

### Peculiar reproductive behaviour

All freshwater mussels share a complex <sup>life cycle,</sup> ~~way of life~~ because they require <sup>up</sup> a vertebrate host, usually a fish, during their larval stage. ~~This~~ <sup>else</sup> microscopic thin-shelled larva<sup>e</sup> (glochidium) that ~~the mussels~~ <sup>are</sup> brood and release <sup>by</sup> the millions was <sup>presumed</sup> ~~interpreted~~ in the nineteenth century <sup>to be</sup> ~~as~~ a parasite <sup>is</sup> species of fishes (*Glochidium parasiticum*), ~~different from any~~



~~other mussel species.~~ This <sup>e glochidium usually</sup> larva normally has hooks and/or teeth to attach itself to the fish's body (fins or gills), where it <sup>becomes</sup> encapsulated ~~and~~ <sup>for</sup> spends several weeks as a temporary ectoparasite, ~~completing its~~ <sup>before</sup> development until its recruitment <sup>transforming to a free-living</sup> as a metamorphosed benthic juvenile. ~~This is the mussel's dispersal method.~~

Knowledge of the relationship between mussel and host fish is essential <sup>to</sup> any attempt to preserve endangered freshwater <sup>mussels</sup> bivalve species.

Altaba (1990) hypothesized <sup>2</sup> about the possible specificity between the glochidium of *M. auricularia* and the Western European sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* (Linnaeus 1758), a relict fish in European rivers and practically extinct in Spain (Elvira et al. 1991; Blanco & González 1992). Both species occur together in Pleistocene deposits (Preece 1988), and both have been declining since the first half of this century.

The glochidium of *M. auricularia* was recently described by Araujo & Ramos (1998).

## Material and Methods

### The study area

The Imperial Channel of Aragón was built in the eighteenth century and runs parallel to the Ebro River through the Spanish provinces of Navarra and Zaragoza (Fig. 2). It belongs to the Ebro river basin and flows across a quaternary bed over 115 km, the last 25 km being a narrow concrete ditch 1-2 m wide. This <sup>channel?</sup> area is regularly dredged and cleaned. The main part of the channel is 10 m width and about 3.5 m depth when it is full.

When empty, the water <sup>depth</sup> layer is around 40-100 cm <sup>with a water velocity</sup>. The stream speed is 0.6 <sup>m/sec</sup> m/sec. It has no shore <sup>line</sup> vegetation except some trees and

The bed is over 115 km of the channel?

There is

^

scattered patches of *Typha* sp. No aquatic vegetation <sup>occurs in</sup> ~~is found~~ along the channel.

## Methods

The Imperial Channel (Fig. 2) was surveyed <sup>completely at low flow</sup> ~~from start to end~~ when it was ~~nearly empty~~, with special emphasis <sup>in places</sup> ~~on the areas~~ whose bed has not been <sup>artificially</sup> covered. Mussel sampling was <sup>conducted</sup> ~~made~~ by looking for tracks and shells, using a bathyscope in deeper areas. Samples taken <sup>with a dredge</sup> ~~with a dredge~~ when the channel was full of water did not collect specimens in areas <sup>where they do actually live</sup> ~~where they do actually live~~ of *Kurumi* occurrence. In order to estimate the age and density of the *M. auricularia* population, collected specimens were measured (length, width and height), marked, released at the site and recaptured <sup>low structure</sup> ~~(the exact sites where the species currently lives cannot be published for obvious reasons)~~.

Six areas in the channel were successfully sampled:

- Area 1: a 100 m transect was surveyed on 28 Feb. 1997 and on 24 Nov. 1997.
- Area 2: a 2 km transect was surveyed on 20 Feb. 98.
- Area 3: 12 transects of known length were made along 150 m on 4. Dec. 1996. These transects were sampled again on 26 Feb. 1997.
- Area 4: a 200 m transect was sampled on 14 Feb. 1996 and on 4 Dec. 1996.
- Area 5: a 200 m transect was surveyed on 3 Dec. 1996.
- Area 6: a 1 <sup>Km</sup> ~~kilometre~~ transect was sampled on 28 Nov. 1997.

The simple Lincoln index (Lincoln 1930) was used to estimate population densities, ~~as the population size is expected to be fixed~~ <sup>assuming stable population size</sup> without gains and losses during the experiment. According to this index,  $N_n/N_c = N_m/N_p$ , where  $N_m$  = number of specimens marked,  $N_c$  = number of specimens collected,  $N_n$  = number of specimens marked at  $N_c$ , and  $N_p$  = total population

? } Specimen track width of the four Unionoid species living in the channel was also measured between the peaks of the track, the section of which is more or less triangular. *How does this relate to anything?*

*extreme*  
In order to ~~discover~~ <sup>discover</sup> specimen age<sup>s</sup>, we used the technique of thin-sectioning of valves, which is ~~highly~~ recommended by Neves & Moyer (1988) for Unionoids. Three old empty valves representing three specimen sizes (~~the~~ <sup>low</sup> biggest, ~~the~~ <sup>mid</sup> medium and ~~the~~ <sup>high</sup> smallest found) and one recently dead specimen were sectioned and the annuli counted. In order to validate age estimates, complementary valves of those ~~that had been~~ thin-sectioned were submerged in aqueous KOH solution and the external shell rings were compared ~~with~~ <sup>to</sup> those observed in thin-sections. With these data, we ~~tried to~~ <sup>d</sup> extrapolate the age of living specimens according to their lengths,

The algae species in the channel water column and in sediment samples taken when the water level was low in Area 3 (the richest in *M. auricularia*) were studied. Samples were studied both *in vivo* and fixed in lugol and formol. *How were these sampled?*

Repeated physico-chemical measurements of the water were ~~monitored~~ <sup>column taken</sup> in Area 3 ~~at~~ <sup>at</sup> low and full water levels. Other water quality analyses were made at ~~the zero kilometre~~ and 30 km downstream of Area 3. All  
0 Km

You talk about algae and water samples taken but don't say what you did with them. What analyses?

these analyses were kindly provided by the Confederación Hidrográfica del Ebro. *what was done with them?*

*use abbreviations for all units of measure*

Eight specimens of *M. auricularia* were maintained in an aquarium containing 120 ~~litres~~ <sup>L</sup> of water and 10 cm of sediment, both from Area 4.

Several days later, 11 specimens of the sturgeon species *Acipenser* cf. *baeri* Brandt 1869, <sup>10-20 cm in length</sup> ~~(one 20 cm and the rest 10 cm long)~~ were <sup>collected</sup> ~~added to~~ <sup>name</sup> the aquarium.

Water temperature ranged between 16 and 20 °C, and pH from 7.5 to 7.7. The mussels were fed with dissolved egg yolk and nutritional diet for fine-filter feeders (Advanced Invertebrate 1

Formula. Marine Enterprises, Inc.) <sup>location?</sup> The fishes were fed <sup>with</sup> Tetra Diskus Fütter (Tetra) <sup>location?</sup> and red mosquito larvae. After infection with glochidia, <sup>how?</sup>

<sup>the</sup> sturgeons were regularly removed from the aquarium and anaesthetised with <sup>without chemical usage</sup> MS222. <sup>A</sup> Filament <sup>gills</sup> were excised and observed under a SZH10 Olympus stereomicroscope.

In a second experiment, five sturgeons infected with *M. auricularia* glochidia were isolated in an aquarium (water temperature between 23-24 °C) without sediment and with a 5 mm mesh plastic net on the bottom. One month after infection, the bottom water layer was pumped through a 60 µm mesh every two days in order to recover <sup>any released</sup> juveniles. <sup>metamorphosed</sup>

### Observations on the Spanish population of *M. auricularia*

#### Natural habitat

where do you cut Tables 1-3 for P?

Specimens of *M. auricularia* were <sup>collected only</sup> ~~only detected~~ in six transects of the channel, being absent from all ~~the~~ areas with artificially covered beds and many ~~of the~~ <sup>unusual</sup> areas with natural beds. The species coexists with a very rich community of *P. littoralis* (Lamarck), *U. elongatulus* C. Pfeiffer and *A. cygnea* (Linnaeus), which inhabit most of the channel at ~~different~~ <sup>various</sup> densities.

*M. auricularia* lives partially buried in clay-sand and gravel beds, sometimes (especially in the breeding season) nearly vertical with the ~~hind~~ <sup>posterior end</sup> portion exposed and sometimes horizontal, showing only the ~~umbonal and ligament area~~ <sup>dorsal margin</sup>. The tracks ~~these bivalves leave~~ <sup>left by these species</sup> (Figure 3) in the sediment are very wide ( $X=7.97$  cm;  $sd=1.12$ ;  $n=123$ ) and easily distinguishable from those of other mussels: *U. elongatulus* ( $X=3.61$ ;  $sd=1.34$ ;  $n=53$ ), *P. littoralis* ( $X=4.5$ ;  $sd=0$ ;  $n=2$ ), *A. cygnea* ( $X=4.8$ ;  $sd=1.24$ ;  $n=17$ ), although the largest specimens of *A. cygnea* can leave similar tracks to those of *M. auricularia*. <sup>statistical analysis?</sup>

The number of live *M. auricularia* specimens estimated for the study transects is shown in Table 4. ~~It is not the total number of live specimens~~ <sup>These estimates</sup> because the species probably ~~also~~ <sup>population size</sup> exists in other unexplored areas. ~~Indeed,~~ <sup>how much time elapsed?</sup> the selected transects do not represent the whole area occupied by the mussels.

In Area 3, we found one specimen which, ~~without any doubt~~ came from Area 4, <sup>2 km</sup> ~~two kilometres~~ downstream. All the collected specimens were above 13 cm except one measuring 10 cm. Thin sectioning and reading the rings in valves did not yield accurate ~~results about age~~ <sup>results about age?</sup> as it was impossible to correlate a specific length with age. The population structure, based on length measurements, ~~is represented in~~ (Figure 4.)

<sup>of 438 specimens is highly skewed</sup>

you cite Table 4 before Tables 1-3

diatoms are not species

The algae species identified in the Imperial Channel are shown in Table

1. In both ~~free~~ <sup>the</sup> water and sediment samples, the main ~~species are~~ <sup>taxa are</sup> of diatoms, which were much more abundant in the sediment. All of ~~them~~ <sup>of</sup> are benthic algae ~~belonging to~~ <sup>occurring in</sup> alkaline and mineralized waters.

Data on water quality in the channel at zero km and 30 km downstream of Area 3 are given in Table 2. Physico-chemical values of the water in Area 3 are in Table 3.

you give this but of what value is it? No description of these results

### Aquarium results

As soon as the *M. auricularia* specimens were ~~put~~ <sup>placed</sup> into the aquarium, they began to release white masses of eggs and developing embryos, starting the true emission of mature glochidia <sup>after</sup> 7 days ~~after the mussels~~ ~~had been placed~~ and 4 days after the fish were introduced. The masses

of glochidia were strongly expelled through the exhalant aperture, and subsequently remained either on the aquarium bottom, or ~~hanging~~ <sup>laying</sup> over the ~~mussel shell~~ <sup>female</sup> (Figure 5) until they were ingested and ~~inhabited~~ <sup>ingested</sup> by ~~the~~ <sup>a</sup> ~~fishes~~ <sup>sturgeon</sup>.

One day after emission of the glochidia, they were observed attached to ~~the fishes~~ gill filaments. ~~Glochidia~~ <sup>Glochidia</sup> expulsion, and ~~other~~ immature <sup>embryonic</sup> developmental stages lasted approximately 35 days, with a lapse of ~~five~~ <sup>5</sup> days without emission in the middle of the spawning period and with a marked peak of glochidia <sup>release</sup> on the 28th day. The spawning of the eight specimens was synchron~~ous~~ <sup>ous</sup>.

Four infected fishes were removed from the aquarium, anaesthetized, ~~killed~~ <sup>sacrificed</sup> and examined 5, 13, 34 and 60 days after infection, respectively.

The first three had gill filaments packed with glochidia, but glochidia were absent ~~from the latter~~.

at 60 days.

Two other parasitized fishes were removed 8 days after infection and transferred to a small 3<sup>5</sup> litre aquarium without sediment, ~~in order~~ to monitor glochidia development and recover ~~the possible~~ <sup>any</sup> juvenile mussels released. After six days in these conditions (Temperature <sup>of</sup> 18-19°C), no glochidia were observed in the ~~fishes~~ <sup>on</sup> gills. A ~~regular survey~~ <sup>routine sampling</sup> of the bottom of this aquarium with a suction pump revealed several empty glochidial shells. These two fishes were reintroduced into the aquarium with the mussels being <sup>re</sup>reinfected over a period of seven days.

~~because~~ The glochidia encapsulated ~~on~~ <sup>the</sup> gill filaments and over their entire ~~length~~ <sup>surface</sup> (Fig. 6A). Thirty-four days after infection, encapsulated glochidia became spherical in shape (Fig. 6B) when compared ~~with~~ <sup>to</sup> those from the two former fishes (5 and 13 days, respectively), but they did not increase in ~~length~~ <sup>size</sup>.

Ten days after the last sturgeon was ~~killed~~ <sup>sacrificed</sup>, the remaining fishes were removed from the aquarium. ~~Since then,~~ <sup>subsequently</sup> several bottom samples ~~have~~ <sup>were</sup> ~~been~~ examined and some empty glochidial shells were found, but no juvenile mussels were detected even after all the aquarium sediment was examined.

Exactly one month after the five sturgeons ~~were~~ infested in the second experiment, we found 15 live juveniles (Fig. 7A) and many empty juvenile shells (Fig. 7B) in <sup>the</sup> aquarium bottom without substrate. Only a few empty juvenile shells were found in the following 2 ~~and~~ 4 days, and no encysted glochidia were found ~~on~~ the surviving sturgeon. Shell measurements of the ~~newborn~~ <sup>juveniles</sup> were: length 190µm; height 187 µm; and width 225 µm.

We are currently studying samples fixed either in bouin's fluid (for histology) or in glutaraldehydum (for scanning electron microscopy) of

all the fish gill filaments with encysted glochidia and of the juveniles in order to monitor the metamorphosis of the mussel larva.

## Discussion

### Natural History

The relict population <sup>of *M. auricularia*</sup> ~~described above~~ is a particularly healthy and <sup>stable</sup> ~~fertile~~ one, with mussels that are probably survivors of a ~~very big~~ <sup>large</sup> community thriving in the main ~~river~~ <sup>channel</sup> bed of the Ebro. That <sup>paper</sup> ~~explains~~ why the channel probably does not represent the natural (ancient) habitat of the species in all its distribution range. ?

*M. auricularia* in the Imperial Channel seems to be a very sedentary species, as suggested by ~~a comparison of~~ the results of our capture-recapture experiment in the different areas where the species lives. We assume that the panmictic area for these mussels is very reduced because adults have very poor locomotion or migration capacity, as reported by Coker et al. (1921) for heavy-shelled mussels and, more recently, by Amyot & Downing (1997) for *Elliptio complanata* (Lightfoot). The case of the only specimen recaptured <sup>2 km</sup> ~~two kilometres~~ upstream of the site where it was released might be explained by an <sup>human</sup> ~~artificial~~ translocation. ?

*M. auricularia* can be defined as a short-term brooder (tachytictic) (Araujo, Bragado & Ramos submitted). Our results are supported by those of Haas (1917a), who demonstrated that the species does not incubate between mid-July and <sup>early</sup> ~~the beginning~~ of September. Although ~~in the~~ Lydeard, Mulvey & Davis (1996) ~~paper~~ the *Margaritiferae*



Your own results to suggest this

species are reported as bradyctictic, all known species of the genus *Margaritifera* are short-term brooders (Heard 1970; Smith 1979; Bauer 1994). We do not know when ~~by~~ fertilization of the ova occurs, but specimens collected in the wild in mid-February 1996 had their four gills full of embryos which developed in ~~the~~ <sup>to</sup> glochidia released ~~in the~~ ~~aquarium~~ one week later and until March 22, ~~with a~~ peak on March 14)

As regards the sex ratio of this population, our results suggest that there is a high proportion of hermaphrodites. This ~~idea~~ <sup>idea</sup> derives from the fact that all aquarium specimens released glochidia. Histological studies of the gonad of *M. auricularia* specimens will answer this question ~~more~~ <sup>more</sup> accurately.

yet an idea that is unsubstantiated

~~As regards larval transformation, our~~ <sup>Laboratory</sup> results show that the sturgeon is a ~~good candidate as specific host of the glochidium of M. auricularia, as~~ <sup>suitable for a</sup> ~~was~~ <sup>by</sup> previously suggested (Altaba 1990) ~~without experimental data.~~

In our experiments, glochidia ~~underwent~~ <sup>ed</sup> metamorphosis ~~on~~ <sup>ed</sup> the gills of ~~the~~ <sup>sturgeon</sup> fishes in the aquarium, and juveniles were released after 30 days at 23-24 °C (690 <sup>days/degrees</sup>). Live juveniles move rapidly when observed under the stereomicroscope, with retraction and protrusion of the finely ciliated foot.

As regards shell changes during metamorphosis, the juveniles ~~present~~ <sup>exhibit</sup> a near spherical shape produced by the addition of a very thin edge of shell material ~~by~~ <sup>it</sup> around the old glochidial ~~shell~~ <sup>valve</sup>.

*Acipenser sturio*, probably the only species of this ~~group~~ <sup>sturgeon</sup> occurring naturally in Spain, was exploited until the mid-1960s in the Ebro River, but catches declined following the construction of reservoirs (Sostoa & Lobón-Cerviá 1989). The absence of *A. sturio* specimens in Spain, and especially in the Ebro basin for many years ~~may be one of the reasons~~ <sup>is a likely</sup> for the decline of *M. auricularia*.

Our results indicate that *A. cf. baeri* is a good species to be used as an ~~ex~~ <sup>assayable</sup> ~~situ~~ fish host if a recovery plan for the species ~~was~~ <sup>is required</sup> ~~needed~~. Moreover, it seems that reinfected fish specimens do not develop acquired immunity against glochidial infection.

*is adequate experiment on this*  
*this is really interesting*

### Conservation Measures

The unexpected discovery of this *M. auricularia* population in Spain and the ~~first~~ <sup>reported</sup> results ~~of this paper~~ revive interest in the species and pose new and puzzling questions ~~about it~~. On the one hand, ~~it is true that~~ ~~compared to adults~~, unionoid juveniles are ~~hardly~~ <sup>rarely</sup> collected because of the ~~hidden~~ <sup>concealed</sup> and different habitat ~~they inhabit~~ <sup>they occupy</sup> (Isely 1911; Hudson & Isom 1984; Neves & Widlak 1987; Miller & Payne 1988; Buddensiek 1995; Richardson & Yokley 1996), ~~which is still unknown for most unionoid species and particularly the margaritiferae~~. Only Buddensiek et al. (1990) and Buddensiek & Ratzbor (1995) <sup>have</sup> studied the chemistry of interstitial water of bivalve habitats, with emphasis on the juvenile stages of *M. margaritifera*. Data ~~about~~ <sup>on</sup> feeding and burrowing behaviour of juvenile unionids reported by Yeager, Cherry & Neves (1994) suggest that this stage is the most susceptible to environmental alterations. The fact that neither juveniles nor middle-aged specimens were found in the study channel suggests ~~that~~ that 1) the specific host fish has not lived in the channel or in the river for many years ~~and~~ <sup>and/or</sup> 2) features like ~~bottom~~ <sup>sediments</sup> or water quality do not allow juveniles to settle and survive. Nevertheless, the ~~luxuriant~~ <sup>great</sup> abundance of the other three species of mussels in the channel, mainly in its <sup>upper? lower?</sup> first half, clearly suggests that the special conditions of this old channel (mainly water quality and bottom composition) are among the best for a healthy naiad community. *In situ*

observations suggest that the species should be more common, as are the other related ~~genera~~<sup>species</sup> in the study area.

Evaluation of the possibility of <sup>other</sup> fish species ~~other than those analysed~~<sup>recruitment</sup> here being parasitized by its glochidia, and of the ~~success of this unusual cycle~~ in the wild is pending, although experiments with local fishes in ~~the~~<sup>a</sup> aquaria and electric-fishing in the Imperial Channel are ~~currently~~<sup>underway</sup> in process. These ~~results~~<sup>studies</sup> are essential for subsequent application of species reintroduction policies.

After the dead specimens of *M. auricularia* were found near the Ebro estuary in 1990, a ~~series~~<sup>set</sup> of recommendations for the effective protection of *M. auricularia* and other unionoids in the Ebro Delta Natural Park were proposed (Altaba 1990) ~~as follows:~~ 1) ~~Restricted collecting/~~ 2) ~~Research/~~ 3) ~~Protection of fish hosts.~~ 4) ~~Protection of key habitats/~~ 5) ~~Education/~~ 6) ~~Establishment of captive breeding colonies/~~ 7) ~~Repopulation/~~ 8) ~~Water quality control/~~

Points 6 and 7 are of primary importance, but as the same author realised, we need to increase our knowledge of *M. auricularia* ecology prior to carrying out these actions. ~~Now that we have managed to~~<sup>without us</sup>

~~acquire this~~ knowledge, it is time to ~~work on these ideas.~~ <sup>Implement a recovery program.</sup>

First ~~of all~~, the newly discovered breeding population, threatened by plans to cover the channel bed where it lives, needs to be protected. Its habitat is threatened because the channel, like many others in Spain, is included in government restoration plans to avoid water wastage. The dredging of the Ebro ~~River~~ bottom near the estuary to make the river navigable and the construction of several dams are ~~also~~ pending threats to the Catalonian population (Altaba 1997).

Is the species successfully reproducing and recruiting, in order to the population?

In the case of the <sup>optimal</sup> channel ~~in question~~, the best <sup>option</sup> solution from recreational and cultural points of view is to stop the proposed work and maintain the channel in an <sup>undisturbed</sup> "organic" state.

In any case, <sup>essential</sup> it is necessary to increase efforts <sup>to</sup> survey the main course of the river with the aim of discovering <sup>in</sup> new breeding colonies or <sup>colony</sup> stocks of juveniles ~~in order to confirm whether the species conserves the reproductive potential to complete larval maturation in natural~~

conditions. This is not an easy task due to the river's characteristics, and it would ~~probably~~ be necessary for scuba divers to survey different transects and to employ special dredging methods.

Simultaneously, and with the available information on the breeding season and reproductive strategies under study, a <sup>macro</sup> experiment close to the natural habitat of the species <sup>should</sup> ~~needs to~~ be designed to investigate different kinds of substrates suitable for adults and

juveniles, <sup>alternative</sup> as well as fish species that are likely to host the parasitic larval stage in order to carry out "in situ" and "ex situ" breeding experiments, including artificial infestation of host fishes. This <sup>should be tested</sup> information is <sup>a</sup> preliminary step to any plans for species recovery or

restocking of former habitats. Similar experiments on artificial propagation of freshwater mussels were carried out successfully many years ago in the Mississippi River (Lefevre & Curtis 1921) and currently in several North American rivers (Neves pers. com.).

After the rediscovery of *M. auricularia* and the corresponding report to the Dirección General de Conservación de la Naturaleza of Spain, the Comisión Nacional de Protección de la Naturaleza has included the <sup>first</sup> <sup>invertebrate</sup> <sup>species</sup> species on the National Endangered Species List (Royal Decree 439/90)

in the category of "threatened with extinction", being the first

~~invertebrate species on this list~~. Furthermore, the presentation of this

2 sentences  
discovery at the "Colloquium on Conservation, Management and Restoration of Habitats for Invertebrates" and at the "Meeting of the Group of Experts on Invertebrate Conservation" of the Bern Convention (Killarney, Ireland, 26-29 May, 1996) (Araujo & Ramos 1996a) led to new recommendations approved by the Standing Committee of the Convention, including most of the measures listed above and also the suggestion to include the species on Appendix II of the Habitats Directive among the species group for which the designation of special conservation areas in the European Community is needed (Council of Europe 1996).

Old reports like that of Coker et al. (1921) and more recent research on endangered unionids (Bruenderman & Neves 1993; Hove & Neves 1994; Vaughn & Pyron 1995) are essential to an understanding of the natural history of freshwater mussels, but essential <sup>information</sup> ~~data~~ about feeding habits, bottom, depth, ~~light and~~ current of the water bodies suitable for these molluscs, especially species of the genus *Margaritifera*, are still unknown. This is further proof of the contingencies prevailing throughout the life of naiads, particularly due to their parasitic stage on the body of an extremely active host like fishes.

weak closing  
sentence

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the following for their support: ICONA-CSIC Project "Inventory of Invertebrate species listed in Appendix II of Council Directive 92/43/EEC", D. Bragado, J. M. Remón and D. Erpenbeck for their help in the field, Miguel Aymerich for his personal interest in the project, the Department of Agriculture and the Environment of the Diputación General de Aragón (S. P. Munilla and J.

Guiral) for permission to collect the animals, and A. Núñez, J. A. Martínez Orús, L. Pinilla and J. Serrano of the Confederación Hidrográfica del Ebro for their help. Algae samples were ~~determined~~<sup>identified</sup> by M. A. Alvarez Covelas. Invaluable suggestions were made by D. Neves and J. Layzer regarding experiment design for the recovery of juveniles. Lesley Ashcroft reviewed the English version.

### Literature Cited

Altaba, C. R. 1990. The ~~Last Known Population of the Freshwater Mussel~~ *Margaritifera auricularia* (Bivalvia, Unionoida): A ~~Conservation~~ Priority. *Biological Conservation* 52:271-286.

Altaba, C. R. 1997. Al límit de l'extinció: *Margaritifera auricularia* (Bivalvia: Unionoida). *Butlletí de la Institució Catalana d'Historia Natural* 65:137-148.

Amyot, J-P. and J. A. Downing. 1997. Seasonal variation in vertical and horizontal movement of the freshwater bivalve *Elliptio complanata* (Mollusca: Unionidae). *Freshwater Biology* 37:345-354.

Araujo, R., and M. A. Ramos. 1996a. *Margaritifera auricularia* re-discovered in Spain: observations on a relict population. *Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats. Conservation, Management & Restoration of habitats for invertebrates: enhancing biological diversity. Killarney (Ireland) 27-28 May.*

pp?

Araujo, R., and M. A. Ramos. 1996b. The last living population of *Margaritifera auricularia* (Spengler, 1783). Molluscan Conservation. A strategy for the 21st Century. National Museum & Gallery, Cardiff (Wales, Great Britain) 20-22 November. pp ?

Araujo, R., and M. A. Ramos. 1998. Description of the glochidium of *Margaritifera auricularia* (Spengler, 1793) (Bivalvia, Unionoidea). Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society of London B. 353:1-7.

Araujo, R., D. Bragado., and M. A. Ramos. <sup>data?</sup> Occurrence of glochidia of *Margaritifera auricularia* (Spengler, 1793) and other mussel species (Bivalvia: Unionoidea) in drift and on fishes in an ancient channel of the Ebro River, Spain. Freshwater Biology. Submitted. <sup>in press?</sup>

Azpeitia, F. 1933. Conchas bivalvas de agua dulce de España y Portugal. Memorias del Instituto Geológico y Minero de España. Madrid. Vol. 1, 458 pp.

Bauer, G. 1986. The status of the ~~Freshwater Pearl Mussel~~ *Margaritifera margaritifera* L. in the ~~South~~ of its European ~~Range~~. Biological Conservation 38:1-9.

Bauer, G. 1987a. The parasitic stage of the freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera* L.) II. Susceptibility of brown trout. Archiv für Hydrobiologie, Supplement 76 4:403-412.

- Bauer, G. 1987b. The parasitic stage of the freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera* L.) III. Host relationships. Archiv für Hydrobiologie, Supplement 76 4:413-423.
- Bauer, G. 1992. Variation in the life span and size of the freshwater pearl mussel. Journal of Animal Ecology 61:425-436.
- Bauer, G. 1994. The adaptive value of offspring size among freshwater mussels (Bivalvia: Unionoidea). Journal of Animal Ecology 63:933-944.
- Bauer, G., and L. Eicke. 1986. Pilotproject zur rettung der flussperlmuschel. Natur und Landschaft 61(4):140-143.
- Bauer, G., and C. Vogel. 1987. The parasitic stage of the freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera* L.) I. Host response to ~~G~~lochidiosis. Archiv für Hydrobiologie, Supplement 76 4:393-402.
- Blanco, J. C., and J. L. González. (eds.). 1992. Libro Rojo de los Vertebrados de España. ICONA Colección Técnica, Madrid:113-114.
- Bogan, A. E. 1993. Freshwater ~~B~~ivalve ~~E~~xtinctions (Mollusca: Unionoidea): A ~~S~~earch for ~~C~~auses. American Zoologist 33:599-609.
- Bourguignat, M. J. R. 1866. Mollusques nouveaux, litigieux ou peu connus. Revue de Zoologie 2nd. Ser. 18:6-23.



- Bruenderman, S. A., and R. J. Neves. 1993. Life history of the endangered ~~Fine-Rayed Pigtoe~~ *Fusconaia cuneolus* (Bivalvia: Unionidae) in the Clinch River, Virginia. *American Malacological Bulletin* **10**(1):83-91.
- Buddensiek, V. 1995. The culture of juvenile freshwater pearl mussels *Margaritifera margaritifera* L. in cages: a contribution to conservation programmes and knowledge of habitat requirements. *Biological Conservation* **74**:33-40.
- Buddensiek, V., H. Engel., S. Fleischauer-Rössing., S. Olbrich., and K. Wächtler. 1990. Studies on the chemistry of interstitial water taken from defined horizons in the fine sediments of bivalve habitats in several northern German lowland waters. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* **119**(1):55-64.
- Buddensiek, V. and G. Ratzbor. 1995. Restoration of sedimental quality in a small brook of the Lüneburger heide, ~~Northern~~ Germany. *Folia Fac. Sci. Nat. Univ. Masarykianae Brunensis, Biologia* **91**:19-24.
- Coker, R. E., A. F. Shira., H. W. Clark., and A. D. Howard. 1921. Natural history and propagation of fresh-water mussels. *Bulletin of the United States Bureau of Fishes* **37**:77-181.
- Council of Europe. 1996. Draft ~~Recommendations~~ on the ~~Conservation~~ of *Margaritifera auricularia*. In: Group of Experts on Conservation of Invertebrates. Secretariat Memorandum Appendix 4. T-PVS (96) 33: 66-67.

- Chesney, H. C. G., P. G. Oliver., and G. M. Davis. 1993. *Margaritifera durrovensis* Phillips, 1928: Taxonomic status, ecology and conservation. *Journal of Conchology* **34**(5):267-299.
- Elvira, B., A. Almodóvar., and J. Lobón-Cerviá. 1991. Recorded distribution of sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio* L., 1758) on the Iberian Peninsula and actual status in Spanish waters. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* **121**(2):253-258.
- Haas, F. 1916a. Sobre una concha fluvial interesante (*Margaritana auricularia*., Spglr.) y su existencia en España. *Boletín de la Sociedad Aragonesa de Ciencias Naturales* **XV**(2):33-45.
- Haas, F. 1916b. Spanischer Brief III. *Nachrichtsblatt der Deutschen Malakozoologischen Gesellschaft* **48**(32-44).
- Haas, F. 1917a. Estudios sobre las Náyades del Ebro. *Boletín de la Sociedad Aragonesa de Ciencias Naturales* **XVI**:71-82.
- Haas, F. 1917b. Estudio para una monografía de las náyades de la Península Ibérica. *Anuari de la Junta de Ciencias Naturales* **2**:131-190.
- Haas, F. 1924. Anatomische Untersuchungen an europäischen Najaden. I. *Archiv für Molluskenkunde* **56**:66-82.
- Haas, F. 1940. A tentative classification of the Palearctic ~~Unionids~~. *Field Museum of Natural History, Zoological Series* **24**:115-141.

- Heard, W. H. 1970. Hermaphroditism in *Margaritifera falcata* (Gould) (Pelecypoda: Margaritiferidae). *Nautilus* **83**(3):113-114.
- Hove, M. C., and R. J. Neves. 1994. Life history of the endangered James spiny mussel *Pleurobema collina* (Conrad, 1837) (Mollusca: Unionidae). *American Malacological Bulletin* **11**(1):29-40.
- Hruska, J. 1992. The freshwater pearl mussel in South Bohemia: Evaluation of the effect of temperature on reproduction, growth and age structure of the population. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* **126**(2):181-191.
- Hudson, R. G., and B. G. Isom. 1984. Rearing juveniles of the freshwater mussels (Unionidae) in a laboratory setting. *The Nautilus* **98**(4):129-135.
- Isely, F. B. 1911. Preliminary note on the ecology of the early juvenile life of the Unionidae. *Biological Bulletin* **20**:77-80.
- IUCN. 1990. 1990 IUCN Red List of Threatened Animals. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK, 228 pp.
- Lefevre, G., and W. C. Curtis. 1912. Studies on the ~~Reproduction~~ and ~~Artificial Propagation~~ of ~~Freshwater Mussels~~. *Bulletin of the Bureau of Fisheries* **30**:105-201.

- Lincoln, F. C. 1930. Calculating waterfold abundance on the basis of banding returns. USDA Circular **118**:1-4.
- Lydeard, Ch., M. Mulvey., and G. M. Davis. 1996. Molecular systematics and evolution of reproductive traits of North American freshwater unionacean mussels (Mollusca: Bivalvia) as inferred from 16S rRNA gene sequences. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B **351**:1593-1603.
- Miller, A. C., and B. S. Payne. 1988. The need for quantitative sampling to characterise size demography and density of freshwater mussel communities. American Malacological Bulletin **6**:49-54.
- Moorkens, E. A., and M. J. Costello. 1994. Imminent extenuation of the Nore freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera durrovensis* Phillips: a species unique to Ireland. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems **4**:363-365.
- Neves, R. J., and J. C. Widlak. 1987. Habitat ecology of juvenile freshwater mussels (Bivalvia: Unionidae) in a headwater stream in Virginia. American Malacological Bulletin **5**(1):1-7.
- Neves, R. J., and S. N. Moyer. 1988. Evaluation of techniques for age determination of freshwater mussels (Unionidae). American Malacological Bulletin **6**:179-188.

- Phillips, R. A. 1928. On *Margaritifera durrovensis*, a new species of Pearl Mussel from Ireland. Proceedings of the Malacological Society of London **18**:69-73.
- Preece, R. C. 1988. A second British interglacial record of *Margaritifera auricularia*. Journal of Conchology **33**(1):50-51.
- Preece, R. C., R. Burleigh., M. P. Kerney., and E. A. Jarzembowski. 1983. Radiocarbon Age Determination of Fossil *Margaritifera auricularia* (Spengler) from the River Thames in West London. Journal of Archaeological Science **10**:249-257.
- Ramos, M. A. 1998. Implementing the Habitats Directive for mollusc species in Spain. Journal of Conchology. Special Publication No 2: 125-132.
- Richardson, T. D., and P. Yokley Jr. 1996. A note on sampling technique and evidence of recruitment in freshwater mussels (Unionidae). Archiv für Hydrobiologie **137**:135-140.
- Sostoa, A., and J. Lobón-Cerviá. 1989. Fish and fisheries of the River Ebro: actual state and recent history. Pages 233-247 in G. E. Petts, editor. Historical Change of Large Alluvial Rivers: Western Europe. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Smith, D. G. 1979. Marsupial anatomy of the demibranch of *Margaritifera margaritifera* (Lin.) in Northeastern North America (Pelecypoda: Unionacea). Journal of Molluscan Studies **45**:39-44.

- Valovirta, I. 1995. Modelling the occurrence of the freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera margaritifera* (L.) by environmental data. 12th Intern. Malacological Congress, Vigo 1995:535-537.
- Vaughn, C. C., and M. Pyron. 1995. Population ecology of the endangered Ouachita rock-pocketbook mussel, *Arkansia wheeleri* (Bivalvia: Unionidae), in the Kiamichi River, Oklahoma. American Malacological Bulletin **11**(2):145-151.
- Woodward, F. R. 1996. *Margaritifera auricularia* (Spengler, 1793). In: Background ~~Information~~ on ~~Invertebrates~~ of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention, III. van Helsdingen, P. J.; Willemse, L. & Speight, M. C. D. eds. Nature and Environment (Council of Europe), **81**:495-500.
- Yeager, M. M., D. S. Cherry., and R. J. Neves. 1994. Feeding and burrowing behaviors of juvenile rainbow mussels, *Villosa iris* (Bivalvia: Unionidae). Journal of the ~~National~~ Benthological Society **13**(2):217-222. *North American*
- Ziuganov, V., A. Zotin., L. Nezlin., and V. Tretiakov. 1994. The freshwater pearl mussels and their relationships with salmonid fish. VNIRO Publishing House, Moscow, 104 pp.